

Curtis Tits amalgams and presentations of locally split Kac-Moody groups

Rieuwert J. Blok¹ and Corneliu Hoffman²

¹Department of Mathematics and Statistics

Bowling Green State University

Bowling Green, OH 43403-1874

² School of Mathematics

University of Birmingham

Edgbaston, B15 2TT, United Kingdom

July 7, 2009

Key Words: twin buildings, amalgams, Kac-Moody groups, Bass-Serre theory, q-CCR algebras

AMS subject classification (2000): Primary 20G35 Secondary 51E24, 20E42, 51E24,

Abstract

A celebrated theorem of Curtis and Tits on groups with finite BN-pair (later extended by P. Abramenko and B. Mühlherr to Kac-Moody groups) shows that roughly speaking these groups are determined by their local structure, that is by an amalgam of rank two algebraic groups. Unfortunately the theorem only states that the Kac-Moody groups are the universal completion of the concrete amalgam of their subgroups.

We define Curtis-Tits structures as amalgams of groups that resemble, but are more general than those in the Curtis-Tits theorem. We then use Bass-Serre theory to classify these amalgams and characterise those that occur in Kac-Moody groups. In particular we can describe all locally split Kac-Moody groups as completions of "orientable" Curtis-Tits structures.

1 Introduction

Kac-Moody Lie algebras are infinite dimensional Lie algebras defined by relations analogous to the Serre relations for finite dimensional semisimple Lie algebras. They have been introduced in the mid sixties by V. Kac and R. Moody. The affine Kac-Moody and generalized Kac-Moody Lie algebras have extensive applications to theoretical physics, especially conformal field theory, monstrous moonshine and so on.

Finite dimensional semisimple Lie algebras admit Chevalley bases which allow the construction of Chevalley groups, Lie-type groups over arbitrary fields. By analogy, J. Tits defined Kac-Moody groups to be groups with a twin-root datum, which implies that they are symmetry groups of Moufang twin-buildings (see [15] [16]). In the case that the corresponding diagram is spherical, the corresponding group is a Chevalley group.

A celebrated theorem of Curtis and Tits on groups with finite BN-pair shows that roughly speaking these groups are determined by their local structure, that is by an amalgam of rank two algebraic groups. This theorem was later extended by P. Abramenko and B. Mühlherr in [1] to 3-spherical Kac-Moody groups.

Unfortunately the theorem only states that the Kac-Moody groups are the universal completion of the concrete amalgam of their subgroups. This is an inconvenience since it is usually easy to recognise isomorphism classes of subgroups but perhaps not so easy to globally manage their embedding. Very often this is the reason that one restricts to the so called "split" Kac-Moody groups, that is groups in which the embedding is the natural one. However "twisted" versions of Kac-Moody groups do exist, as constructed by [8], [11] and they in turn give Curtis-Tits amalgams.

In the paper [16] J. Tits considered groups of Kac-Moody type and their twin-buildings and conjectured that, under certain conditions, these can be classified by Moufang foundations. He then proceeded to classify all Moufang foundations with simply-laced Dynkin diagram without triangles, and stated that, under certain local conditions these are in bijection with the maps from the fundamental group of the diagram to the automorphism group of the field in question. The correspondence between foundations and Kac-Moody groups was later proved by B. Mühlherr in [9]. We realized that while any Moufang foundation gives rise to a Curtis-Tits amalgam, the converse is not necessarily true.

A natural question is the following: how can one recognise these amalgams as abstract group amalgams? More generally one would like to classify all amalgams that are "locally" isomorphic to the usual Curtis-Tits ones and identify their universal completions.

Throughout the paper k will be a commutative field of order at least 4. We need the restriction on the order for the classification of the amalgams. Precise definitions will be given in Section 2. A Curtis-Tits structure over k with (simply-laced) Dynkin diagram M over a finite set I is an amalgam $\mathcal{A} = \{G_i, G_{ij} \mid i \in I\}$ whose rank-1 groups G_i are isomorphic to $SL_2(k)$, where $G_{i,j} = \langle G_i, G_j \rangle$, and in which G_i and G_j commute if $\{i, j\}$ is a non-edge in M and are embedded naturally in $G_{ij} \cong SL_3(k)$ if $\{i, j\}$ is an edge in M . We are only interested in C-T structures that admit a nontrivial completion. The universal completion of a (non-collapsing) Curtis-Tits structure is called a Curtis-Tits group.

It is demonstrated that the amalgam uniquely determines a subgroup T that is locally

a torus. We call the Curtis-Tits structure orientable if it is possible to choose a family $(U_i)_{i \in I}$ of root groups, $U_i \leq G_i$ such that U_i and U_j are in the same Borel subgroup of G_{ij} w.r.t. $G_{i,j} \cap T$, for every $i, j \in I$. Examples of orientable Curtis-Tits (OCT) structures are obtained by applying the Curtis-Tits theorem to locally split Kac-Moody groups G . The amalgam gives a presentation of G as the completion of the rank-1 and rank-2 Levi components of standard parabolic subgroups relative to a twin BN-pair of type M for G .

We prove that “orientable” amalgams the Curtis-Tits amalgams are exactly the ones coming from Moufang foundations. However, there exist non-orientable Curtis-Tits amalgams. In this paper we use a variation of Bass-Serre theory to classify all Curtis-Tits amalgams over simply laced diagrams without triangles. As a by-product we obtain a description of all Kac-Moody groups in this case.

As an application in [5] we give concrete constructions all possible amalgams and their respective groups for the case of $\tilde{A}_n$ diagrams. In particular we describe two very interesting collections of groups. The first is a collection of twisted versions of the Kac-Moody group $SL_n(k[t, t^{-1}])$ whose natural quotients are labeled by the cyclic algebras of center k . The second is a Curtis-Tits group that is not a Kac-Moody group. It maps surjectively to $Sp_{2n}(q)$ and $\Omega_{2n}^+(q)$ and admits a canonical quantum Clifford algebra that seems to have close relations to q-CCR algebras considered by physicists.

Our first main result is:

Theorem 1 *Let Γ be a simply laced Dynkin diagram with no triangles and k a field with at least 4 elements. There is a natural bijection between isomorphism classes of CT-structures over the field k on a graph Γ and the set given by $\{\Phi : \pi(\Gamma, i_0) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \text{Aut}(k) \mid \Phi \text{ is a group homomorphism}\}$*

By results of Tits [16] and Mühlherr [9], Moufang foundations of type Γ over k are classified by homomorphisms from $\pi(\Gamma, i_0)$ to $\text{Aut}(k)$. Moreover, by the main result of Mühlherr [9], any foundation with a simply-laced diagram in which every A_2 -residue is of type $A_2(k)$ (i.e. locally split) can be “integrated”. We can then prove.

Theorem 2 *Let Γ be a simply laced Dynkin diagram with no triangles and k a field with at least 4 elements.. The universal completion of a Curtis-Tits structure over a commutative field k and diagram Γ is a locally-split Kac-Moody group over k with Dynkin diagram Γ (and $\mathcal{A}$ is the Curtis-Tits amalgam for this group) if and only if $\mathcal{A}$ is orientable.*

Note that for example in [1], [6] and [16] the theorem requires that the amalgam lives in the corresponding Kac-Moody group. This is rather inconvenient since it gives no intrinsic description of the amalgam. Our result above defines Kac-Moody groups as universal completions of certain abstract amalgams hence giving concrete presentations for those groups. In particular we can restate the previous theorem as follows. See Section 4.1 for the exact definitions.

Theorem 3 *Let Γ be a simply laced Dynkin diagram with no triangles and k a field with at least 4 elements.. Any locally-split Kac-Moody group over k with diagram Γ can be defined*

by a twist $(\vec{\Gamma}, \delta)$ of the corresponding split Kac-Moody group. Moreover any two twists are equivalent if they have the same fundamental group.

The theorem above is the first constructive classification of Kac-Moody groups over simply laced diagrams. See also Corollary 4.6 for a more precise version.

The paper is organised as follows. In Section 2 we define Curtis-Tits structures, morphisms and prove some general technical Lemmas. In Section 3 we introduce our modification of Bass-Serre theory and prove Theorem 1. In Section 4 we prove Theorems 2 and 3

2 CT-structures

In this section we introduce the notion of a CT-structure over a commutative field and define their category.

Throughout the paper k will be a commutative (often finite) field.

Definition 2.1 Let V be a vector space of dimension 3 over k . We call (S_1, S_2) a *standard pair* for $S = \mathrm{SL}(V)$ if there are decompositions $V = U_i \oplus V_i$, $i = 1, 2$, with $\dim(U_i) = 1$ and $\dim(V_i) = 2$ such that $U_1 \subseteq V_2$ and $U_2 \subseteq V_1$ and S_i centralizes U_i and preserves V_i .

One also calls S_1 a *standard complement* of S_2 and vice-versa. We set $D_1 = N_{S_1}(S_2)$ and $D_2 = N_{S_2}(S_1)$. A simple calculation shows that D_i is a maximal torus in S_i , for $i = 1, 2$. In general if $G \cong \mathrm{SL}_3(k)$, then (G_1, G_2) is a standard pair for G if there is an isomorphism $\psi: G \rightarrow S$ such that $\psi(G_i) = S_i$ for $i = 1, 2$.

Definition 2.2 Given a standard pair (S_1, S_2) , a *standard basis* for (S_1, S_2) is an ordered basis $\mathbf{E}_0 = (e_1, e_2, e_3)$ of V such that $V_1 = \langle e_1, e_2 \rangle$, $U_1 = \langle e_3 \rangle$, $U_2 = \langle e_1 \rangle$, and $V_2 = \langle e_2, e_3 \rangle$.

Identifying S with $\mathrm{SL}_3(k)$ via its left action on V with respect to $\mathbf{E}_0$, yields

$$S_1 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \middle| A \in \mathrm{SL}_2(k) \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad S_2 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & A \end{pmatrix} \middle| A \in \mathrm{SL}_2(k) \right\}$$

so that

$$D_1 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \middle| a \in k^* \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad D_2 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \middle| a \in k^* \right\}$$

Lemma 2.3 Let S_1 and S_2 be a standard pair for $S = \mathrm{SL}_3(k)$, where k has at least four elements. Then S_1 has exactly one standard complement $S'_2 \neq S_2$ normalized by D_1 .

Proof Since k has at least four elements, D_1 uniquely determines three 1-dimensional eigenspaces and S_1 fixes all vectors in exactly one of these eigenspaces, say E_1 . In the notation above, these are $E_1 = U_1$, U_2 and $V_1 \cap V_2$. Thus any standard complement S_2 to S_1 that is normalised by D_1 is completely determined by the eigenspace $E \neq E_1$ that it fixes vector-wise. There are two choices. $\square$

We will need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.4 *With the notations above, $D_1 = C_{S_1}(D_2)$ and respectively $D_2 = C_{S_2}(D_1)$. Moreover D_2 is the only torus in S_2 that is normalized by D_1 .*

Proof Note that if T is a torus in S_2 then $N_S(T)$ is the set of monomial matrices so $N_{S_1}(T)$ only contains one torus which is $C_{S_1}(T)$. The conclusion follows. $\square$

Definition 2.5 A *simply laced Dynkin diagram* over the set I is a simple graph $\Gamma = (I, E)$. That is, Γ has vertex set I , and an edge set E that contains no loops or double edges.

Definition 2.6 An *amalgam* over a set I is a collection $\mathcal{A} = \{G_i, G_{i,j} \mid i, j \in I\}$ of groups, together with a collection $\varphi = \{\varphi_{i,j} \mid i, j \in I\}$ of monomorphisms $\varphi_{i,j}: G_i \hookrightarrow G_{i,j}$, called *inclusion maps*. A *completion* of $\mathcal{A}$ is a group G together with a collection $\phi = \{\phi_{i,j}, \phi_i \mid i, j \in I\}$ of homomorphisms $\phi_{i,j}: G_{i,j} \rightarrow G$, $\phi_i: G_i \rightarrow G$ such that for any i, j we have $\phi_{i,j} \circ \varphi_{i,j} = \phi_i$. For simplicity we denote by $\overline{G}_i = \varphi_{i,j}(G_i) \leq G_{i,j}$. The amalgam $\mathcal{A}$ is *non-collapsing* if it has a non-trivial completion. A completion $(\widehat{G}, \widehat{\phi})$ is called *universal* if for any completion (G, ϕ) there is a unique surjective group homomorphism $\pi: \widehat{G} \rightarrow G$ such that $\phi = \pi \circ \widehat{\phi}$.

Definition 2.7 Let $\Gamma = (I, E)$ be a simply-laced Dynkin diagram. A *Curtis-Tits structure* over Γ is a non-collapsing amalgam $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma) = (G_i, G_{i,j} \mid i, j \in I)$ such that

CT1 for any vertex i , the group $G_i = \text{SL}_2(k)$ and for each pair $i, j \in I$,

$$G_{i,j} \cong \begin{cases} \text{SL}(V_{i,j}) & \text{if } \{i, j\} \in E \\ G_i \circ G_j & \text{if } \{i, j\} \notin E \end{cases},$$

where $V_{i,j}$ is a 3-dimensional vector space over k :

CT2 if $\{i, j\} \in E$ then $(\overline{G}_i, \overline{G}_j)$ is a standard pair in $G_{i,j}$.

Note 2.8 Although $\circ$ denotes central product, in most natural cases, the product is in fact direct.

Definition 2.9 For our purposes we call a Dynkin diagram *admissible* if it is connected and has no circuits of length ≤ 3 .

From now on $\Gamma = (I, E)$ will be an admissible Dynkin diagram and $\mathcal{A} = \{G_i, G_{i,j} \mid i, j \in I\}$ will be a noncollapsing Curtis-Tits structure over Γ .

Lemma 2.10 *If the Dynkin Diagram is admissible and i, j, k are vertices such that $\{i, j\}$ and $\{j, k\}$ are edges then $N_{G_{i,j}}(\overline{G}_i) \cap \overline{G}_j = N_{G_{j,k}}(\overline{G}_k) \cap \overline{G}_j$*

Proof (See also [7]) Let G be a nontrivial completion of $\mathcal{A}$. Let $\overline{D}_j^i = N_{G_{i,j}}(\overline{G}_j) \cap \overline{G}_i$. It follows from the fact that the nodes i and k are not connected that $\overline{D}_j^i$ commutes with $\overline{D}_j^k$. Note that if $g \in \overline{D}_j^i$ then $(\overline{D}_k^j)^g$ commutes with $\overline{D}_j^k$ so $\overline{D}_j^i$ is a torus that normalizes $\overline{D}_k^j$. By Lemma 2.4, $\overline{D}_j^i$ only normalizes $\overline{D}_i^j$ and so $\overline{D}_k^j = \overline{D}_i^j$. $\square$

Lemma 2.10 motivates the following definition.

Definition 2.11 For $i, j \in I$, we let $\overline{D}_i = N_{G_{i,j}}(\overline{G}_j) \cap \overline{G}_i$, where $\{i, j\} \in E$. Note that this defines $\overline{D}_i$ for all i since Γ is connected. We also denote by $D_i := (\varphi_i^j)^{-1}(\overline{D}_i)$

As we saw after Definition 2.1 for each $i \in I$, the group $\overline{D}_i$ is a torus in $\overline{G}_i$. Lemma 2.10 allows us to glue tori together.

Lemma 2.12 If $\{i, j\} \in E$, then $\overline{D}_i$ and $\overline{D}_j$ are contained in a unique common maximal torus $D_{i,j}$ of $G_{i,j}$.

Proof Clearly in any completion of the amalgam, both $\overline{D}_i$ and $\overline{D}_j$ normalize $\overline{G}_i$ and $\overline{G}_j$ so we have $\overline{D}_i, \overline{D}_j \leq N_{G_{i,j}}(\overline{G}_i) \cap N_{G_{i,j}}(\overline{G}_j)$ and this is the required maximal torus $D_{i,j}$. $\square$

Definition 2.13 Note that a torus in $\text{SL}_2(k)$ uniquely determines a pair of opposite root groups X_+ and X_- . We now choose one root group X_i normalized by the torus D_i of G_i for each i . An *orientable Curtis Tits (OCT) structure* (respectively orientable Curtis-Tits (OCT) group) is a CT structure that admits a system of root groups $X_i \in G_i$ ($i \in I$) as above such that for any $i, j \in I$, the groups $\phi_{i,j}(X_i)$ and $\phi_{j,i}(X_j)$ are contained in a common Borel subgroup of $G_{i,j}$.

2.1 Morphisms

In this subsection, for $k = 1, 2$, let $\Gamma^k = (I^k, E^k)$ be a Dynkin diagram.

Definition 2.14 A *homomorphism* between the Dynkin diagrams Γ^1 and Γ^2 is a map

$$\gamma: I^1 \rightarrow I^2$$

such that for any $i, j \in I$ with $\{i, j\} \in E^1$ also $\{\gamma(i), \gamma(j)\} \in E^2$. We call γ an isomorphism if γ is bijective and γ^{-1} is also a homomorphism of Dynkin diagrams, that is $\{i, j\} \in E^1$ if and only if $\{\gamma(i), \gamma(j)\} \in E^2$ for all $i, j \in I^1$. We call γ an automorphism if γ is an isomorphism and $\Gamma^1 = \Gamma^2$.

Now, for $k = 1, 2$, let $\mathcal{A}^k = \{G_i^k, G_{i,j}^k \mid i, j \in I^k\}$ be a CT structure with admissible Dynkin diagram Γ^k .

Definition 2.15 A *homomorphism* between the amalgams $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma^1)$ and $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma^2)$ is a pair (γ, ϕ) , where $\gamma: \Gamma^1 \rightarrow \Gamma^2$ is a homomorphism and $\phi = \{\phi_i, \phi_{i,j}\}$ where $\phi_{i,j}: G_{i,j}^1 \rightarrow G_{\gamma(i)\gamma(j)}^2$ and $\phi_i: G_i^1 \rightarrow G_{\gamma(i)}^2$ are group homomorphisms such that $\phi_{i,j} \circ \varphi_{i,j}^1 = \varphi_{\gamma(i), \gamma(j)}^2 \circ \phi_i$

We sometimes also call $\bar{\phi}_{i,j} = \phi_{i,j}|_{G_i} = \varphi_{\gamma(i),\gamma(j)}^2 \circ \phi_i \circ (\varphi_{i,j}^1)^{-1}$ for all $i, j \in I^1$. We call (γ, ϕ) an *isomorphism* of amalgams if γ is an isomorphism, ϕ is bijective, and (γ^{-1}, ϕ^{-1}) is a homomorphism of amalgams. Note that, if (γ, ϕ) is an isomorphism, we can relabel the elements of Γ^2 and assume $\gamma = \text{id}$. For most of the following we will do so.

Lemma 2.16 *Suppose that $\phi_i: G_i^1 \rightarrow G_{\gamma(i)}^2$ is surjective for all $i \in I^1$. Then, the homomorphism ϕ restricts to a group homomorphism $\phi: D_i^1 \rightarrow D_{\gamma(i)}^2$ for all $i \in I^1$.*

Proof Consider any edge $\{i, j\} \in E^1$. Since $\phi_{i,j}$ is a homomorphism, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(\bar{D}_i^1) = \phi(N_{G_{i,j}^1}(\bar{G}_j^1) \cap \bar{G}_i^1) &\leq N_{\phi(G_{i,j}^1)}(\phi(\bar{G}_j^1)) \cap \phi(\bar{G}_i^1) = N_{\phi(G_{i,j}^1)}(\bar{G}_{\gamma(j)}^2) \cap \bar{G}_{\gamma(i)}^2 \\ &\leq N_{G_{\gamma(i)\gamma(j)}^2}(\bar{G}_{\gamma(j)}^2) \cap \bar{G}_{\gamma(i)}^2 = \bar{D}_{\gamma(i)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 2.17 *Let $\mathcal{A} = \{G_i, G_{i,j} \mid i, j \in I\}$ be a CT structure with Dynkin diagram $\Gamma = (I, E)$. Given any collection $\{\delta_i \mid i \in I\}$, where δ_i is a diagonal automorphism of $\bar{G}_i$ preserving $\bar{D}_i$, there exists an automorphism δ of $\mathcal{A}$ that when restricted to $\bar{G}_i$ equals δ_i for any $i \in I$.*

Proof The automorphism δ is given by a collection of diagonal automorphisms $\delta_{i,j}$ of $G_{i,j}$ such that $\delta_{i,j}$ restricted to $\bar{G}_i$ equals δ_i for all $i, j \in I$. If $\{i, j\} \notin E$, then $\delta_{i,j}$ is simply the direct product $\delta_i \circ \delta_j$. Otherwise suppose that with respect to some basis $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ of eigenvectors for $\bar{D}_i$ and $\bar{D}_j$ $\delta_i = \text{diag}\{a, b, 1\}$ and $\delta_j = \text{diag}\{1, c, d\}$, then let $\delta_{i,j} = \text{diag}\{ac, bc, bd\}$. □

Definition 2.18 Let $\mathcal{A} = \{G_i, G_{i,j} \mid i, j \in I\}$ be a CT structure with admissible Dynkin diagram $\Gamma = (I, E)$. A *basis* of $\mathcal{A}$ is a collection $\{\mathbf{E}_{i,j}, \mathbf{E}_{j,i} \mid \{i, j\} \in E\}$ so that $\mathbf{E}_{i,j}$ is a standard basis for $(\bar{G}_i, \bar{G}_j)$ in $V_{i,j}$ and $\mathbf{E}_{j,i}$ is the same basis but the ordering is reversed. Note that $\mathbf{E}_{i,j}$ is stabilised by $\bar{D}_i$ and $\bar{D}_j$.

By Lemma 2.17 any two bases are interchanged by an automorphism of the CT structure.

2.2 Automorphisms of $\mathcal{A}(A_2)$

Let $G = \text{SL}(V)$ act on V as a matrix group $\text{SL}_n(k)$ with respect to some fixed basis $\mathbf{E} = \{e_i \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

Let $\omega \in \text{Aut}(\text{SL}_n(k))$ be the automorphism given by

$$A \mapsto {}^t A^{-1}$$

where ${}^t A$ denotes the transpose of A .

Let $\Phi = \{(i, j) \mid 1 \leq i \neq j \leq n\}$. For any $(i, j) \in \Phi$ and $\lambda \in k$, we define the *root group* $U_{i,j} = \{U_{i,j}(\lambda) \mid \lambda \in k\}$, where $U_{i,j}(\lambda)$ acts as

$$\begin{aligned} e_j &\mapsto e_j + \lambda e_i & \text{and} \\ e_k &\mapsto e_k & \text{for all } k \neq j. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\Phi_+ = \{(i, j) \in \Phi \mid i < j\}$ and $\Phi_- = \{(i, j) \in \Phi \mid j < i\}$. We call $U_{i,j}$ *positive* if $(i, j) \in \Phi_+$ and *negative* otherwise. Let H be the torus of diagonal matrices in $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbf{k})$ and for $\varepsilon \in \{+, -\}$, let $U_\varepsilon = \langle U_{i,j} \mid (i, j) \in \Phi_\varepsilon \rangle$ and $B_\varepsilon = H \ltimes U_\varepsilon$.

Lemma 2.19

(a) *If $n = 2$, then ω is given by conjugation with*

$$\mathcal{E} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{k}).$$

(b) *If $n \geq 3$, then ω cannot be represented by an element of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{k})$.*

(c) *$U_{i,j}^\omega = U_{j,i}$ for all $(i, j) \in \Phi$ and $B_\varepsilon^\omega = B_{-\varepsilon}$, for $\varepsilon \in \{+, -\}$.*

Proof (a) and (c) Straightforward. (b) If $n \geq 3$, then ω does not even preserve eigenvalues, so it is certainly not inner. $\square$

Let $\Gamma\mathrm{L}_n(\mathbf{k})$ be the group of all semilinear automorphisms of the vector space V and let $\mathrm{P}\Gamma\mathrm{L}_n(\mathbf{k}) = \Gamma\mathrm{L}_n(\mathbf{k})/Z(\Gamma\mathrm{L}_n(\mathbf{k}))$. Then $\Gamma\mathrm{L}_n(\mathbf{k}) \cong \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{k}) \rtimes \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbf{k})$, where we view $t \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbf{k})$ as an element of $\Gamma\mathrm{L}_n(\mathbf{k})$ by setting $((a_{i,j})_{i,j=1}^n)^t = (a_{i,j}^t)_{i,j=1}^n$. The automorphism group of $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbf{k})$ can be expressed using $\mathrm{P}\Gamma\mathrm{L}_n(\mathbf{k})$ and ω as follows [12].

Lemma 2.20

$$\mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbf{k})) = \begin{cases} \mathrm{P}\Gamma\mathrm{L}_n(\mathbf{k}) & \text{if } n = 2 \\ \mathrm{P}\Gamma\mathrm{L}_n(\mathbf{k}) \rtimes \langle \omega \rangle & \text{if } n \geq 3 \end{cases}.$$

3 Bass-Serre theory

From a CT-structure $\mathcal{A}$ we will construct a graph of groups in the sense of Bass-Serre. (see [2, 3, 13]) We review the relevant definitions.

Definition 3.1 Let $\Gamma = (I, E)$ be an admissible Dynkin diagram. Following [2] we define a directed graph $\vec{\Gamma} = (I, \vec{E})$ where for each edge $\{i, j\} \in E$ we introduce directed edges (i, j) and (j, i) in $\vec{E}$. For every $e \in \vec{E}$ we denote the reverse edge by $\bar{e}$. Moreover we denote by $\delta_0(e)$ the starting node of the oriented edge e .

Definition 3.2 A *graph of groups* is a pair $(\mathcal{C}, \vec{\Gamma})$ where $\vec{\Gamma}$ is a graph as above and $\mathcal{C}$ associates to each $i \in I$ a group A_i and to each directed edge $e \in \vec{E}$ a group $A_e = A_{\bar{e}}$. Moreover, for each vertex i on a (directed) edge (i, j) we have a monomorphism $\alpha_{i,j}: A_{i,j} \rightarrow A_i$.

Definition 3.3 Given graphs of groups $(\mathcal{C}^{(k)}, \vec{\Gamma}^{(k)})$ for $k = 1, 2$, an *inner morphism* is a pair (ϕ, γ) , where γ is a morphism of Dynkin diagrams and $\phi = \{\phi_i, \phi_{i,j} \mid i, j \in \vec{E}\}$ is

a collection of group homomorphisms $\phi_i: A_i^{(1)} \rightarrow A_{\gamma(i)}^{(2)}$ and $\phi_{i,j}: A_{i,j}^{(1)} \rightarrow A_{\gamma(i)\gamma(j)}^{(2)}$ so that for each $(i, j) \in \vec{E}$ there exists an element $\delta_{i,j} \in A_{\gamma(i)}$ so that

$$\phi_i(\alpha_{i,j}(s)) = \delta_{i,j} \alpha_{\gamma(i), \gamma(j)}(\phi_{i,j}(s)) \delta_{i,j}^{-1}.$$

We call an inner morphism *central* if $\delta_{i,j} = 1$ for all $(i, j) \in \vec{E}$.

Given a group G and a collection of subgroups $G_1, \dots, G_k$ let $\text{Aut}_G(G_1, \dots, G_k)$ be the subgroup of $\text{Aut}(G)$ that stabilizes each G_i . Given a monomorphism of groups $\phi: G \rightarrow H$ we shall denote the resulting homomorphism $\text{Aut}_H(\phi(G)) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(G)$ by $\text{ad}(\phi)$ so that for any $a \in \text{Aut}_H(\phi(G))$ we have $\text{ad}(\phi)(a) = \phi^{-1} \circ a \circ \phi$.

Assume that $\vec{\Gamma} = (I, \vec{E})$ is the graph associated to an admissible Dynkin diagram and $\mathcal{A} = \{G_i, G_{i,j} \mid i, j \in I\}$ a CT structure with Dynkin diagram $\Gamma = (I, E)$. For each $i \in I$ the subgroup $\overline{D}_i$ and respectively D_i is well defined and so it uniquely describes the subgroup T_i of diagonal automorphisms of $\text{Aut}_{G_i}(D_i)$. Moreover, for each $\{i, j\} \in E$, let $T_{i,j}$ be the group of diagonal automorphisms of $G_{i,j}$ defined by $D_{i,j}$.

Let $\mathbf{E}$ be a basis for $\mathcal{A}$ as in 2.18. Moreover, for each $i \in I$ let V_i be a vector space with basis $\{f_1^i, f_2^i\}$ identifying $G_i = \text{SL}_2(k)$. Consider $\psi_{i,j}: V_i \rightarrow V_{i,j}$ the linear map that takes the ordered basis (f_1^i, f_2^i) to $(e_1^{i,j}, e_2^{i,j})$. This defines a graph of groups $(\mathcal{C}_0, \vec{\Gamma})$ in the following way. $\mathcal{C}_0 = \{\mathbf{A}_i, \mathbf{A}_{i,j} \mid (i, j) \in \vec{E}\}$ where $\mathbf{A}_i$ is the complement in $\text{Aut}_{G_i}(D_i)$ to T_i defined with respect to $\{f_1^i, f_2^i\}$ and $\mathbf{A}_{i,j}$ is the complement in $\text{Aut}_{G_{i,j}}(\overline{G}_i, \overline{G}_j)$ to $T_{i,j}$, defined by $\mathbf{E}_{i,j}$. Then, the map $\alpha_{i,j}: \mathbf{A}_{i,j} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_i$ is given by the restriction of $\text{ad}(\psi_{i,j})$ to $\mathbf{A}_{i,j}$.

Lemma 3.4 *The graph of groups $(\mathcal{C}_0, \vec{\Gamma})$ constructed above is determined by the diagram $\vec{\Gamma}$ up to central isomorphism (and not on the particular amalgam $\mathcal{A}$).*

Proof First note that the construction of $(\mathcal{C}_0, \vec{\Gamma})$ only involves the maps $\psi_{i,j}$, which in turn depend on the basis $\mathbf{E}$ for $\mathcal{A}$ and the collection $\mathbf{F} = \{(f_1^i, f_2^i) \mid i \in I\}$ of bases chosen for the V_i . We now show that any other choice of $\mathbf{E}$ and $\mathbf{F}$ merely induces a central isomorphism between the resulting graphs of groups. Let $\mathbf{E}'$ and $\mathbf{F}'$ be another choice of a basis for $\mathcal{A}$ and the V_i 's and let $(\mathcal{C}', \vec{\Gamma})$ be the resulting graph of groups. Then there is a collection of linear maps $\{t_i, t_{i,j} \mid i \in I, (i, j) \in \vec{E}\}$ such that the following diagram is commutative.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G_{i,j} & \xrightarrow{t_{i,j}} & G'_{i,j} \\ \psi_{i,j} \uparrow & & \uparrow \psi'_{i,j} \\ G_i & \xrightarrow{t_i} & G'_i \end{array}$$

Since the bases defining the complements $A_{i,j}$, $A'_{i,j}$, A_i and A'_i all correspond via the maps in this diagram, also these complements themselves correspond to each other via the adjoint maps. This shows that the map $(\phi, \gamma): \mathcal{C}' \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_0$, where γ is the identity map on $\vec{\Gamma}$ and $\phi_{i,j} = \text{ad}(t_{i,j})$ and $\phi_i = \text{ad}(t_i)$ respectively is a central isomorphism. $\square$

For the remaining of the paper we will fix the groups G_i , and $G_{i,j}$ as well as the bases $\mathbf{E}$ and $\mathbf{F}$ (which in turn fix $\mathbf{A}_i, \mathbf{A}_{i,j}$, the maps $\psi_{i,j}$ and the graph of groups $\mathcal{C}_0$).

Definition 3.5 A *concrete CT structure* is a CT structure $\mathcal{A} = \{G_i, G_{i,j} \mid i, j \in I, \varphi_{i,j}\}$ so that $\text{ad}(\varphi_{i,j})(\mathbf{A}_{i,j}) = \mathbf{A}_i$. The graph of groups $\mathcal{C}_0$ is called *the concrete graph of groups*.

Consider the concrete graph of groups $\mathcal{C}_0$ and for each $i, j \in I$ consider $\gamma = i_0, i_1, \dots, i_n$ a path in $\overrightarrow{\Gamma}$ with $i_0 = i, i_n = j$. Define $\beta_{i,j} : \mathbf{A}_i \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_j$ $\beta_{i,j}(a) = \alpha_{i_n, i_{n-1}} \circ \alpha_{i_{n-1}, i_n}^{-1} \circ \dots \circ \alpha_{i_1, i_0} \circ \alpha_{i_0, i_1}^{-1}(a)$

Lemma 3.6 *The map $\beta_{i,j}$ is independent of γ .*

Proof Quite immediate since $\alpha_{i,j} \circ \alpha_{j,i}^{-1} : \mathbf{A}_j \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_i$ is defined by the $\psi_{i,j}$ and so it identifies the two copies of $\text{Aut}(k)$ respectively the map $\mathcal{E}$. □

Lemma 3.7 *If $\mathcal{A}' = \{G_i, G_{i,j} \mid i, j \in I, \varphi_{i,j}\}$ is any CT-structure and $\{A_i \leq \text{Aut}_{G_i}(D_i) \mid i \in I\}$ is a collection of complements to the groups of diagonal automorphisms T_i then there exists a basis $\mathbf{E} = \{\mathbf{E}_{i,j} \mid \{i, j\} \in E\}$ so that if $\{i, j\} \in E$ and the group $A_{i,j}$ is the corresponding complement to $T_{i,j}$ then $\text{ad}(\varphi_{i,j})(A_{i,j}) = A_i$. The collection $\mathcal{C} = \{A_{i,j} \mid \{i, j\} \in E\}$ is unique and the bases $\mathbf{E}_{i,j}$ are unique up to multiplication by a scalar in $\text{Fix}(\text{Aut}(k))$*

Proof The group $T_{i,j}$ acts regularly on the set of its complements. Moreover if two bases induce the same complement they must be scalar multiple of one another and the scalar has to be fixed by $\text{Aut}(k)$ proving the uniqueness part of the theorem.

For the existence we first pick a random base $\mathbf{E}'$ and modify it as follows. If $\{i, j\} \in E$ then $\mathbf{E}'$ determines $A'_{i,j}$, a complement to $T_{i,j}$. Restriction to G_i and G_j determine complements A'_i and A'_j to T_i and T_j . These are conjugates of A_i and A_j under diagonal automorphisms $g_i \in T_i$ and $g_j \in T_j$. As in the proof of Lemma 2.17 there exists a diagonal automorphism $g \in T_{i,j}$ that restricts to g_i and g_j . Conjugating by g transforms the basis $\mathbf{E}'_{i,j}$ to a basis $\mathbf{E}_{i,j}$ respectively replace the complement $A'_{i,j}$ to a complement $A_{i,j}$ that satisfies the Lemma for the edge $\{i, j\}$. □

Corollary 3.8 *Any CT-structure is isomorphic with a concrete one. Moreover the isomorphism can be taken to be diagonal.*

Proof By Lemma 3.7 there exists a basis $\mathbf{E}'$ so that the corresponding complements are $A_{i,j}$ and $\text{ad}(\varphi_{i,j})(A_{i,j}) = \mathbf{A}_i$. Now consider the diagonal map $\phi_{i,j}$ that maps $\mathbf{E}_{i,j}$ to $\mathbf{E}'_{i,j}$. If $\varphi'_{i,j} = \phi_{i,j} \circ \varphi_{i,j}$ and $\phi_i = \text{id}_{G_i}$ then ϕ defines an isomorphism between $\mathcal{A} = \{G_i, G_{i,j} \mid i, j \in I, \varphi_{i,j}\}$ and $\mathcal{A}' = \{G_i, G_{i,j} \mid i, j \in I, \varphi'_{i,j}\}$. Moreover $\text{ad}(\varphi'_{i,j})(\mathbf{A}_{i,j}) = \text{ad}(\varphi_{i,j}) \circ \text{ad}(\phi_{i,j})(\mathbf{A}_{i,j}) = \mathbf{A}_i$

so $\mathcal{A}'$ is concrete. $\square$

Definition 3.9 Let $(\mathcal{C}, \vec{\Gamma})$ be a graph of groups, a *pointing* is a pair $((\mathcal{C}, \vec{\Gamma}), \delta)$, where $\delta = \{\delta_{i,j} \mid (i,j) \in \vec{E}\}$ is a collection of elements $\delta_{i,j} \in A_i$ and $(\mathcal{C}, \vec{\Gamma})$ is a graph of groups obtained from $(\mathcal{C}, \vec{\Gamma})$ by setting $\alpha'_{i,j} = \text{ad}(\delta_{i,j}^{-1}) \circ \alpha_{i,j}$, for each $(i,j) \in \vec{E}$.

Lemma 3.10 Any pointing of $(\mathcal{C}, \vec{\Gamma})$ is isomorphic to itself as a graph of groups.

Proof In the definition of an inner morphism let all ϕ_i and $\phi_{i,j}$ be the identity maps and let $\delta_{i,j}$ be as defined in 3.9. This defines an isomorphism of graphs of groups. $\square$

Definition 3.11 An isomorphism between pointings $((\mathcal{C}, \vec{\Gamma}), \delta^{(k)})$ of a graph of groups $(\mathcal{C}, \vec{\Gamma})$ is an inner isomorphism (ϕ, γ) such that, $\gamma = \text{id}$ and there exist $a_i \in \mathbf{A}_i$ and $a_{i,j} \in \mathbf{A}_{i,j}$ so that $a_{i,j} = a_{j,i}$ and with $\phi_i = \text{ad}(a_i)$ and $\phi_{i,j} = \text{ad}(a_{i,j})$ for each $(i,j) \in \vec{E}$ and we have $\delta_{i,j}^{(1)} \alpha_{i,j}(a_{i,j}) = a_i \delta_{i,j}^{(2)}$. We will say that the collection $\{a_{i,j}, a_i \mid i \in I, (i,j) \in \vec{E}\}$ induces the isomorphism.

Theorem 3.12 For any admissible Dynkin diagram $\vec{\Gamma}$, there is a natural bijection between the set of isomorphism classes of concrete CT-structures over $\vec{\Gamma}$ and the set of isomorphism classes of pointings of the corresponding graph of groups $\mathcal{C}_0$.

Proof Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a concrete CT structure over $\vec{\Gamma}$. $\mathcal{A}$ defines a pointing of $\mathcal{C}_0$ by setting $\delta_{i,j} = \varphi_{i,j}^{-1} \circ \psi_{i,j}$, for each $(i,j) \in \vec{\Gamma}$. One verifies at once that the new $\alpha_{i,j}$ is $\text{ad}(\varphi_{i,j})$ which by definition of a concrete CT structure takes $\mathbf{A}_{i,j}$ to $\mathbf{A}_i$.

Conversely, given a pointing $((\mathcal{C}_0, \vec{\Gamma}), \delta)$ we define a CT-structure $\mathcal{A}$ over $\vec{\Gamma}$ setting $\varphi_{i,j} = \psi_{i,j} \circ \delta_{i,j}^{-1}$ for each $(i,j) \in \vec{E}$. Of course if $(i,j) \text{ nin } \vec{E}$ then $G_{i,j} = G_i \circ G_j$ so the maps $\varphi_{i,j}$ are the natural ones. The fact that $\varphi_{i,j}$ define a concrete CT-structure is imediate since $\text{ad}(\delta_{i,j}^{-1})$ preserves $\mathbf{A}_i$ and $\text{ad}(\psi_{i,j})$ takes $\mathbf{A}_{i,j}$ to $\mathbf{A}_i$.

We now show that these maps preserve isomorphism classes. First assume that $\phi: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}'$ is an isomorphism of concrete CT-structures. this means that $\phi_{i,j} \circ \varphi_{i,j} = \varphi'_{i,j} \circ \phi_i$. By Lemma 2.17 we can assume that, after possibly composing with a diagonal automorphism, $\text{ad}(\phi_i)(\mathbf{A}_i) = \mathbf{A}_i$ and so $\text{ad}(\phi_{i,j})(\mathbf{A}_{i,j}) = \mathbf{A}_{i,j}$. However the only elements of $\text{Aut}_{G_i}(D_i)$ respectively $\text{Aut}_{G_{i,j}}(G_i, G_j)$ that preserve the complements are the elements of those complements. This means that $\phi_i \in \mathbf{A}_i$ and $\phi_{i,j} \in \mathbf{A}_{i,j}$. The collection $\{\phi_{i,j}, \phi_i \mid i \in I, (i,j) \in \vec{E}\}$ induces the desired isomorphism between $(\mathcal{C}_0, \vec{\Gamma}), \delta')$ and $(\mathcal{C}_0, \vec{\Gamma}), \delta)$. Indeed

$$\delta'_{i,j} \alpha_{i,j}(\phi_{i,j}) = ((\varphi'_{i,j})^{-1} \psi_{i,j})(\psi_{i,j}^{-1} \phi_{i,j} \psi_{i,j}) = (\varphi'_{i,j})^{-1} \phi_{i,j} \psi_{i,j} = \phi_i \varphi_{i,j}^{-1} \psi_{i,j} = \phi_i \delta_{i,j}$$

Conversely suppose $\{\phi_{i,j}, \phi_i \mid i \in I, (i,j) \in \vec{E}\}$ induce an isomorphism of pointings $(\mathcal{C}_0, \vec{\Gamma}), \delta')$ and $(\mathcal{C}_0, \vec{\Gamma}), \delta)$. We show that ϕ is an isomorphism of CT structures. Indeed

$$\phi_{i,j} \varphi_{i,j} = \phi_{i,j} \psi_{i,j} \delta_{i,j}^{-1} = \psi_{i,j} \alpha_{i,j}(\phi_{i,j}) \delta_{i,j}^{-1} = \psi_{i,j} (\delta'_{i,j})^{-1} \phi_i = \varphi'_{i,j} \phi_i$$

$\square$

By Corollary 3.8 and Theorem 3.12, in order to classify the isomorphism classes of CT structures over $\vec{\Gamma}$ it sufices to classify the isomorphism classes of pointings of the concrete graph of groups $\mathcal{C}_0$.

3.1 The fundamental group

Definition 3.13 For a given graph of groups $(\mathcal{C}, \vec{\Gamma})$ we define *path group* as follows

$$\pi(\mathcal{C}) = ((\ast_{i \in I} A_i) \ast F(\vec{E}))/R$$

where $F(\vec{E})$ is the free group on the set $\vec{E}$, $\ast$ denotes free product and R is the following set of relations

$$\text{for any } e = (i, j) \in \vec{E}, a \in A_e, e\bar{e} = \text{id} \text{ and } e \cdot \alpha_{\bar{e}}(a) \cdot \bar{e} = \alpha_e(a)$$

Definition 3.14

Given a graph of groups $(\mathcal{C}, \vec{\Gamma})$, a *path of length n* in $\mathcal{C}$ is a sequence $a_1 e_1 a_2 \cdots e_n a_n$ where $e_1 \cdots e_n$ is an edge path in $\vec{\Gamma}$ with vertex sequence $i_1 \cdots i_n$ and $a_k \in A_{i_k}$. A path is *reduced* if it has no returns (i.e. $e_{i+1} \neq \bar{e}_i$). We define an element of $\pi(\mathcal{C})$ by $|\gamma| = a_1 \cdot e_1 \cdot a_2 \cdots e_n \cdot a_n$. We denote by $\pi[i, j] = \{|\gamma| \mid \gamma \text{ a path on } \mathcal{C}, \gamma(1) = i, \gamma(2) = j\}$ respectively $\pi(\mathcal{C}, i) = \pi[i, i]$. Concatenation will induce a group operation on $\pi(\mathcal{C}, i)$ and we call this group *the fundamental group of $\mathcal{C}$* .

From now on the only graph of groups we will consider is the concrete graph $\mathcal{C}_0$.

Note the (Cf Corollary 1.13 of [2])

Lemma 3.15 *Any element of $|\gamma| = \pi(\mathcal{C}_0, i_0)$ can be uniquely realised as $e_1 e_2 \cdots e_n g$ where $g \in A_{i_0}$ and $e_1 = (i_0, i_1)$, $e_n = (i_n, i_0)$. Moreover if $\gamma = e_1 \delta_{i_1} \cdots \delta_{i_n} e_n \delta_{i_0}$ with $\delta_k \in \mathbf{A}_k$ then (with notations as in 3.6) $g = \beta_{i_1, i_0}(\delta_{i_1}) \beta_{i_2, i_0}(\delta_{i_2}) \cdots \beta_{i_n, i_0}(\delta_{i_n}) \delta_{i_0}$.*

Corollary 3.16 $\pi(\mathcal{C}_0, i_0) \cong \mathbf{A}_{i_0} \times \pi(\vec{\Gamma}, i_0)$.

Proof By Lemma 3.15 $\pi(\mathcal{C}_0, i_0) \cong \mathbf{A}_{i_0} \pi(\vec{\Gamma}, i_0)$. Moreover by the same Lemma if $a \in \mathbf{A}_{i_0}$ and $\gamma \in \pi(\vec{\Gamma}, i_0)$ then $a \cdot \gamma = \gamma \cdot \beta_{i_0, i_0}(a) = \gamma \cdot a$ so the two commute and their intersection is trivial. $\square$

We also need a slight modification of (Corollary 1.10 of [2]). We first prove the following special case.

Lemma 3.17 *If $e \in \vec{E}$ and $(g_1, e, g_2, \bar{e}, g_3)$ and $(g'_1, e, g'_2, \bar{e}, g'_3)$ are two paths satisfying $g_1 \alpha_e(\alpha_{\bar{e}}^{-1}(g_2)) g_3 = g'_1 \alpha_e(\alpha_{\bar{e}}^{-1}(g'_2)) g'_3$ (so in particular they have the same image in $\pi(\mathcal{C}_0)$) then there exist h_1, h_2 so that $g'_1 = g_1 \alpha_e(h_1^{-1})$, $g'_2 = \alpha_{\bar{e}}(h_1) g_2 \alpha_{\bar{e}}(h_2^{-1})$, $g'_3 = \alpha_e(h_2) g_3$.*

Proof We define $h_1 = \alpha_e^{-1}((g'_1)^{-1} g_1)$ and $h_2 = \alpha_e^{-1}(g'_3 g_3^{-1})$. The condition on the g_i 's can be re written as $\alpha_e(\alpha_{\bar{e}}^{-1}(g_2)) = (g'_1)^{-1} g_1 \alpha_e(\alpha_{\bar{e}}^{-1}(g_2)) g_3 (g'_3)^{-1}$. If we apply α_e^{-1} to this relation we get $\alpha_{\bar{e}}^{-1}(g_2) = h_1 \alpha_{\bar{e}}^{-1}(g_2) h_2^{-1}$. Another application of $\alpha_{\bar{e}}$ finishes the proof. $\square$

We are now ready to prove the generalization of Corollary 1.10 of [2].

Proposition 3.18 *Let $\gamma = (g_0, e_1, \dots, e_n, g_n)$ and $\gamma' = (g'_0, e_1, \dots, e_n, g'_n)$ be two paths with $|\gamma| = |\gamma'|$ in $\pi(\mathcal{C}_0)$. Then there exist elements $h_1, \dots, h_n$ such that $g'_0 = g_0 \alpha_{e_1}(h_1^{-1})$, $g'_i = \alpha_{\bar{e}_i}(h_i) g_i \alpha_{e_{i+1}}(h_{i+1}^{-1})$ and $g'_n = \alpha_{\bar{e}_n}(h_n) g_n$.*

Proof If γ and γ' are reduced then this is just Corollary 1.10 of [2]. We prove this by induction on the number of returns. Suppose $e_i = \bar{e}_{i+1}$. Consider $\dot{\gamma} = (g_0 e_1, \dots, e_{i-1} \dot{g} e_{i+2} \dots e_n, g_n)$ and $\dot{\gamma}' = (g'_0 e_1, \dots, e_{i-1} \dot{g}' e_{i+2} \dots e_n, g'_n)$ where $g = g_i \alpha_{e_i}(\alpha_{e_{i+1}}^{-1}(g_{i+1})) g_{i+2}$. Using relations in $\pi(\mathcal{C}_0)$ we can immediately see that $|\dot{\gamma}| = |\gamma| = |\gamma'| = |\dot{\gamma}'|$. By induction there exist $h_1, \dots, h_{i-1}, h_{i+2}, \dots, h_n$ that satisfy the required relations for $j \neq i, i+1, i+2$ and the extra relation $g' = \alpha_{\bar{e}_{i-1}}(h_{i-1}) g \alpha_{e_{i+2}}(h_{i+2}^{-1})$. We now take $\dot{g}_1 = \alpha_{\bar{e}_{i-1}}(h_{i-1}) g_i$, $\dot{g}'_1 = g'_i$, $\dot{g}_2 = g_{i+1}$, $\dot{g}'_2 = g'_{i+1}$, $\dot{g}_3 = g'_{i+2}$, $\dot{g}_3 = g_{i+2} \alpha_{e_{i+2}}(h_{i+2}^{-1})$. The paths $(\dot{g}_1, e_i \dot{g}_2, e_{i+1}, \dot{g}_3)$ and $(\dot{g}'_1, e_i \dot{g}'_2, e_{i+1}, \dot{g}'_3)$ satisfy the conditions of Lemma 3.17 so there exists $\dot{h}_1$ and $\dot{h}_2$ as in the conclusion. Picking $h_i = \dot{h}_1$ and $h_{i+1} = \dot{h}_2$ finishes the proof. $\square$

Definition 3.19 If $((\mathcal{C}_0, \vec{\Gamma}), \delta)$ is a pointing of the graph of groups $(\mathcal{C}_0, \vec{\Gamma})$ then any path $\gamma = e_1 \dots e_n$ in $\vec{\Gamma}$ gives rise to a path in $\mathcal{C}$ via $\gamma \mapsto \gamma_\delta = \delta_{e_1} e_1 \delta_{\bar{e}_1}^{-1} \delta_{e_2} \dots e_{n-1} \delta_{\bar{e}_{n-1}}^{-1} \delta_{e_n} e_n \delta_{\bar{e}_n}^{-1}$. The map $\gamma \mapsto |\gamma_\delta|$ restricts to an isomorphism from $\pi(\vec{\Gamma}, a) \rightarrow \pi(\mathcal{C}_0, a)$. The image of this map is called *the fundamental group of the pointing* and denoted by $\pi(\mathcal{C}, a, \delta)$.

Lemma 3.20 *If $((\mathcal{C}_0, \vec{\Gamma}), \delta)$ is a pointing of $\mathcal{C}_0$ then there exists a map $\Phi: \pi(\vec{\Gamma}, i_0) \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_{i_0}$ so that $\pi(\mathcal{C}, a, \delta) = \{\gamma \cdot \Phi(\gamma) \mid \gamma \in \pi(\vec{\Gamma}, i_0)\}$.*

Proof The map is given by Lemma 3.15 and the description by Corollary 3.16. $\square$

Note that any two pointings have isomorphic fundamental groups. Therefore the real invariant of the pointing is the actual image of $\pi(\vec{\Gamma}, a) \rightarrow \pi(\mathcal{C}_0, a)$ and not its isomorphism class.

We in fact have the following.

Theorem 3.21 *Two pointings of $\mathcal{C}_0$ are isomorphic if and only if they have the same fundamental group.*

Proof Suppose that the collection $\{a_{i,j}, a_i \mid i \in I, (i,j) \in \vec{E}\}$ induces an isomorphism of $((\mathcal{C}_0, \vec{\Gamma}), \delta)$ to $((\mathcal{C}_0, \vec{\Gamma}), \delta')$. This means that $a_i^{-1} \delta_{i,j} \alpha_{i,j}(a_{i,j}) = \delta'_{i,j}$. Suppose that $\gamma = e_1 \dots e_n$ is a path in $\vec{\Gamma}$ where WLOG we can assume $e_i = (i, i+1)$. Then

$$|\gamma_{\delta'}| = \delta'_{e_1} e_1 (\delta'_{\bar{e}_1})^{-1} \delta'_{e_2} \dots e_{n-1} (\delta'_{\bar{e}_{n-1}})^{-1} \delta'_{e_n} e_n (\delta'_{\bar{e}_n})^{-1}$$

Note that for each i we have $a_i^{-1} \delta_{i,j} \alpha_{i,j}(a_{i,j}) = \delta'_{i,j}$. Also recall that $a_{i,j} = a_{j,i}$ so

$$\delta'_{e_i} e_i (\delta'_{\bar{e}_i})^{-1} = a_i^{-1} \delta_{e_i} \alpha_{i,i+1}(a_{i,i+1}) e_i \alpha_{i+1,i}(a_{i,i+1}^{-1}) \delta_{\bar{e}_i}^{-1} a_{i+1} = a_i^{-1} \delta_{e_i} e_i \delta_{\bar{e}_i}^{-1} a_{i+1}$$

and so the two images are the same in $\pi(\mathcal{C}_0, i_0)$.

Conversely suppose that $((\mathcal{C}_0, \overrightarrow{\Gamma}), \delta)$ to $((\mathcal{C}_0, \overrightarrow{\Gamma}), \delta')$ have the same fundamental group. Recall that we have to find a collection $\{a_{i,j}, a_i \mid i \in I, (i,j) \in \overrightarrow{E}\}$ that satisfy the relation $a_i^{-1} \delta_{i,j} \alpha_{i,j}(a_{i,j}) = \delta'_{i,j}$ for any $(i,j) \in \overrightarrow{E}$. Recall that $a_{i,j} = a_{j,i}$ and so along any path $\gamma = i_0, i_1, \dots, i_k$ with initial vertex i_0 the values of a_{i_s} and $a_{i_s, i_{s+1}}$ are uniquely determined by a choice of i_0 . Fixing $a_{i_0} = 1$ once and for all, we determine the a_i by picking a path from i_0 to i and computing along it. We claim that the value one obtains does not depend on the choice of the path.

To prove the claim we need to show that if γ is a closed path and we calculate the a_i along γ we end up with the identity in the end. Let $\gamma = e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n$ where we will assume that $e_k = (i_{k-1}, i_k)$. Note that γ is not a simple path so there might be repeated vertices and edges. We will ignore this and compute the a_{i_k} and $a_{i_k, i_{k+1}}$ as if they would be different. Of course by Lemma 3.15 the two group elements $|\gamma^\delta|$ and $|\gamma^{\delta'}|$ are equal. Now we use Proposition 3.18 with the elements $g_0 = \delta_{e_1}, g_i = \delta_{e_i}^{-1} \delta_{e_{i+1}}, g_n = \delta_{e_n}^{-1}$ respectively $g'_0 = \delta'_{e_1}, g_i = (\delta'_{e_i})^{-1} \delta'_{e_{i+1}}, g'_n = (\delta'_{e_n})^{-1}$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} g'_0 &= g_0 \alpha_{e_1}(a_{e_1}); \\ g'_k &= \alpha_{\overrightarrow{e_k}}(a_{\overrightarrow{e_k}}^{-1}) \delta_{\overrightarrow{e_k}}^{-1} a_{i_k} a_{i_k}^{-1} \delta_{e_{k+1}} \alpha_{e_{k+1}}(a_{e_{k+1}}) \\ &= \alpha_{\overrightarrow{e_k}}(a_{\overrightarrow{e_k}}^{-1}) \delta_{\overrightarrow{e_k}}^{-1} \delta_{e_{k+1}} \alpha_{e_{k+1}}(a_{e_{k+1}}) \\ &= \alpha_{\overrightarrow{e_k}}(a_{\overrightarrow{e_k}}^{-1}) g_k \alpha_{e_{k+1}}(a_{e_{k+1}}); \\ g'_n &= \alpha_{\overrightarrow{e_n}}(a_{\overrightarrow{e_n}}^{-1}) g_n a'_0. \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 1 is now a consequence of Theorem 3.12, Theorem 3.21 and Lemma 3.19.

4 The proof of Theorem 2

Let G be a simply connected Kac-Moody group that is locally split over a field k (in the sense of [9]) with an admissible simply laced Dynkin diagram Γ over some finite index set I . We shall prove that the Curtis-Tits amalgam for this group is in fact a Curtis-Tits structure for G .

Let $(W, \{r_i\}_{i \in I})$ be the Coxeter system of type Γ . Then G has a twin BN-pair (B^+, N, B^-) of type Γ , which gives rise to a Moufang twin-building $\Delta = (\Delta_+, \Delta_-, \delta_+, \delta_-, \delta_*)$ of type Γ , where, for $\varepsilon = \pm$ we have $\Delta_\varepsilon = G/B^\varepsilon$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_\varepsilon(gB^\varepsilon, hB^\varepsilon) &= w \quad \text{whenever } B^\varepsilon g^{-1} h B^\varepsilon = B^\varepsilon w B^\varepsilon, \\ \delta_*(gB^+, hB^-) &= w \quad \text{whenever } B^+ g^{-1} h B^+ = B^+ w B^+. \end{aligned}$$

Two chambers c and d are called *opposite* if $\delta_*(c, d) = 1$. Fix two opposite chambers $c_+ = B^+$ and $c_- = B^-$. The standard parabolic subgroups of type (J, ε) , where $J \subseteq I$ are the groups $P_J^\varepsilon = B^\varepsilon W_J B^\varepsilon$, where $W_J = \langle r_j \mid j \in J \rangle_W$. The Levi-decomposition of P_J is $P_J = U_J \rtimes L_J$, where L_J is called the Levi-component and U_J is the unipotent radical of P_J . We shall write $L_i = L_{\{i\}}$ and $L_{i,j} = L_{\{i,j\}}$ for $i, j \in I$.

Since Γ is simply laced the Curtis-Tits theorem [14, Ch. 13] and [1] yields G as the universal completion of the following amalgam:

$$\mathcal{A} = \{L_i, L_{\{i,j\}} \mid i, j \in I\}$$

The fact that G is locally split means that whenever i and j are adjacent, then the $\{i, j\}$ -residue on c_+ is isomorphic to the building associated to the group $\mathrm{SL}_3(k)$. This implies that $L_{i,j}$ is isomorphic to a quotient of $\mathrm{SL}_3(k)$ and has $\mathrm{PSL}_3(k)$ as a quotient. We call G simply connected if in fact $L_{i,j} \cong \mathrm{SL}_3(k)$. In particular, this means that $L_i \cong \mathrm{SL}_2(k)$ and that L_i and L_j form a standard pair. Also, whenever i and j are not adjacent in Γ , L_i and L_j commute so that $L_{i,j} \cong L_i \circ L_j$. Thus, $\mathcal{A}$ is a Curtis-Tits structure over Γ . The fact that $\mathcal{A}$ is oriented follows from the observation that for each i , the fundamental positive root group U_{α_i} belongs to L_i and $U_{\alpha_i} \subseteq B^+$. In particular, U_{α_i} and U_{α_j} belong to a common Borel group of $L_{i,j}$. Thus $\mathcal{A}$ is an oriented Curtis-Tits structure for G .

In the remainder of this section, we shall prove that every oriented CT-structure with admissible Dynkin diagram can be obtained as the Curtis-Tits amalgam of some simply connected Kac-Moody group that is locally split over k .

Our strategy is as follows. Let Γ be an admissible Dynkin diagram and $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma)$ an oriented CT-structure over some field k . The fact that $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma)$ is oriented allows us to define a Moufang foundation, which by a result of Mühlherr is integrable to a twin-building Δ . We then show that if G is the automorphism group of Δ , then the Curtis-Tits amalgam for G is isomorphic to $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma)$.

4.1 Sound Moufang foundations and orientable CT-amalgams

We shall make use of the following definition of a foundation [9]:

Definition 4.1 Let Γ be an admissible Dynkin diagram over I . A foundation of type Γ is a triple

$$(\{\Delta_{i,j} \mid \{i,j\} \in E\}, \{C_{i,j} \mid \{i,j\} \in E\}, \{\theta_{j,i,k} \mid \{i,j\}, \{i,k\} \in E\}),$$

satisfying the following conditions:

Fo1 $\Delta_{i,j}$ is a building of type A_2 for each $\{i,j\} \in E$;

Fo2 $C_{i,j}$ is a chamber of $\Delta_{i,j}$ for each $\{i,j\} \in E$;

Fo3 $\theta_{j,i,k}$ is a bijection between the i -panel on $C_{i,j}$ in $\Delta_{i,j}$ and the i -panel on $C_{i,k}$ in $\Delta_{i,k}$ such that $\theta_{j,i,k}(C_{i,j}) = C_{i,k}$ and if $i, j, k, l \in I$ are such that $\{i,j\}, \{i,k\}, \{i,l\} \in E$, then $\theta_{k,i,l} \circ \theta_{j,i,k} = \theta_{j,i,l}$.

This foundation is said to be of *Moufang* type if $\Delta_{i,j}$ is a Moufang building for each $\{i,j\} \in E$ and if in [Fo3] the map $\theta_{j,i,k}$ induces an isomorphism between the Moufang set induced by $\Delta_{i,j}$ on the i -panel of $C_{i,j}$ and the Moufang set induced by $\Delta_{i,k}$ on the i -panel of $C_{i,k}$.

We shall now describe how to obtain a Moufang foundation from a given orientable CT-structure $(\mathcal{A}, \vec{\Gamma})$. Let $\{X_i \mid i \in I\}$ be the collection of root groups as in Definition 2.13 and let $\{B_{i,j} \mid (i,j) \in \vec{E}\}$ be the collection of Borel groups in $G_{i,j}$ such that $\varphi_{i,j}(X_i)$ and $\varphi_{j,i}(X_j)$ are contained in $B_{i,j}$ for any $(i,j) \in \vec{E}$. For each $(i,j) \in \vec{E}$, let $\Delta_{i,j}$ be the Moufang building of type A_2 obtained from $G_{i,j}$ via the BN-pair $(B_{i,j}, N_{G_{i,j}}(D_{i,j}))$ and let $C_{i,j}$ be the chamber given by $B_{i,j}$. Now let $i, j, k \in I$ be such that $(i,j), (i,k) \in \vec{E}$. Let $\mathcal{E}_{i,j}$ be the element of $G_{i,j}$ given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

with respect to the ordered basis $\mathbf{E}_{i,j}$. Note that the i -panel of $\Delta_{i,j}$ containing $C_{i,j}$ is represented by $C_{i,j}$ itself together with the cosets $\varphi_{i,j}(\lambda)\mathcal{E}_{i,j}B_{i,j}$, where $\lambda \in X_i$. We now define $\theta_{j,i,k}(\varphi_{i,j}(\lambda)\mathcal{E}_{i,j}B_{i,j}) = \varphi_{i,k}(\lambda)\mathcal{E}_{i,k}B_{i,k}$. Note that since the structure of the i -panel of $\Delta_{i,j}$ on $C_{i,j}$ (resp. of $\Delta_{i,k}$ on $C_{i,k}$) as a Moufang set is entirely determined by G_i the group isomorphism $\theta_{j,i,k}$ preserves this structure. This proves the following.

Lemma 4.2 *The triple*

$$\mathbf{F} = (\{\Delta_{i,j} \mid \{i,j\} \in E\}, \{C_{i,j} \mid \{i,j\} \in E\}, \{\theta_{j,i,k} \mid \{i,j\}, \{i,k\} \in E\})$$

obtained from the CT-structure $(\mathcal{A}, \vec{\Gamma})$ as above, is a Moufang foundation that is locally split over k .

Theorem 4.3 *A CT-structure over an admissible Dynkin diagram Γ is the amalgam coming from the Curtis-Tits theorem for a twin-building if and only if it is orientable.*

Proof As proved in Section 4 the amalgam $\mathcal{A}(\Delta)$ produced by applying the Curtis-Tits theorem to the universal Kac-Moody group that is an automorphism group for Δ , is an orientable CT-structure with diagram $\vec{\Gamma}$.

Conversely, let $\mathcal{A}$ be a concrete OCT structure with diagram $\vec{\Gamma}$ and $\mathbf{F}$ be the Moufang foundation constructed from $\mathcal{A}$ as in 4.2.

Now $\mathbf{F}$ gives rise to a system $\mathcal{K} = \{k_{i,j}, \phi_{i,j} \mid \{i,j\} \in E\}$ as in [16, §6.5] in the following way. Let $(i,j) \in \vec{E}$. By the discussion in loc. cit. we may identify the additive group of $k_{i,j}$ with the root group X_i , which in turn is canonically identified with k by viewing X_i as the upper or lower triangular unipotent matrices in $G_i = \mathrm{SL}_2(k)$. The map $\psi_{i,j}$ identifies the field $k = k_{i,j}$ with the field k defining $\Delta_{i,j}$ and the identification between $k_{i,j}$ and $k_{j,i}^\circ$ is induced by the base change from $\mathbf{E}_{i,j}$ to $\mathbf{E}_{j,i}$ which induces the identity on k . The inclusion map of the i -panel (resp. j -panel) on $C_{i,j}$ in $\Delta_{i,j}$ is given by the group isomorphism φ_{ij} (resp. $\varphi_{j,i}$) and so the field isomorphism $\phi_{j,i}: k_{j,i} \rightarrow k_{i,j}^\circ$ equals $\delta_{i,j} \circ \delta_{j,i}^{-1}$. This corresponds to the element $(\alpha_{j,i} \circ \alpha_{i,j}^{-1})(\delta_{i,j})\delta_{j,i}^{-1}$ in $\mathrm{Aut}(k_{j,i})$. Pick a base point i_0 , for each $(i_0, i) \in \vec{E}$ identify $k_{i_0,i} = k$ and identify $\mathrm{Aut}(k) = \mathbf{A}_{i_0}$. Then $\phi_{i,j}$ corresponds to an element of $\mathrm{Aut}(k)$ via $\beta_{i_0,i}$. This is how the homomorphism $\Phi: \pi(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(k)$ is obtained in loc. cit.. From

the definition 3.14 and Lemma 3.15 we see that this homomorphism coincides with the Φ defined in Lemma 3.20. By loc. cit. all sound Moufang foundations are determined by the homomorphism Φ . This homomorphism is the same as the homomorphism Φ defined in Lemma 3.20. Therefore by Theorems 3.12 and by Theorem 3.21 every foundation comes from a OCT-structure that is unique up to isomorphism. $\square$

Remark 4.4 *An alternate proof of Theorem 4.3 could be obtained by using the notion of apartments in foundations as in [9].*

Note now that if ψ_{ij} are as in the definition of a concrete amalgam, then the amalgam $\{G_{ij}, G_i, \psi_{ij} \mid i \in I, (i, j) \in \vec{E}\}$ has as its universal completion the split Kac-Moody group $\mathcal{G}_\Gamma(k)$. This suggests the following definition.

Definition 4.5 For any pointing $((\mathcal{C}_0, \vec{E}), \delta)$ of the graph of groups $\mathcal{C}_0$, the δ -twist $\mathcal{G}_\Gamma^\delta(k)$ is the group given by the Curtis-Tits presentation corresponding to δ . More precisely for any $e \in \vec{E}$ and $v \in I$ we get a copy $X_v = \mathrm{SL}_2(k)$ and $X_e = X_{\bar{e}} = \mathrm{SL}_3(k)$ and the relations given by the ones in X_v and X_e together with the following:

- If v_1 and v_2 are not connected by an edge then $[X_{v_1}, X_{v_2}] = 1$.
- if $e = (i, j)$ then X_i is identified with a subgroup of X_e via $\varphi_e = \psi_e \circ \delta_e^{-1}$.

Theorem 3 follows immediately.

We can now make the classification of twists more precise. To that end let us fix Λ is a spanning tree of Γ and a list of edges $\{e_k \mid k = 1, s\}$ so that $\Gamma = \Lambda \cup \cup_{k=1}^s \{e_k, \bar{e}_k\}$. We will construct an amalgam as follows. For each $k = 1, \dots, s$ we take $\delta_k \in \mathbf{A}_{i_k}$ (here i_k is the starting point of e_k). Construct $\varphi_e = \psi_e$ if $e \neq e_k$ and $\varphi_{e_k} = \psi_{e_k} \circ \delta_k^{-1}$. The resulting amalgam is denoted by $\mathcal{A}_\delta$.

Corollary 4.6 *Any locally split Kac-Moody group with diagram Γ is the universal completion of a unique $\mathcal{A}_\delta$.*

Proof Immediate since each e_k corresponds uniquely to a generator of the fundamental group. $\square$

Acknowledgements

This paper was started and planned while the authors enjoyed the hospitality of the Banff International Research Station on a Research in Teams program. We are extremely grateful to BIRS for the pleasant and highly productive stay.

The final version of the paper was written during some very claustrophobic and accident prone three weeks in Birmingham. We thank Irina and Karin for putting up with it all.

References

- [1] P. Abramenko and B. Mühlherr. Présentations de certaines BN -paires jumelées comme sommes amalgamées. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.*, 325(7):701–706, 1997.
- [2] H. Bass. Covering theory for graphs of groups. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, 89(1-2):3–47, October 1993.
- [3] H. Bass. Automorphism groups of tree actions and of graphs of groups. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, 112(2):109–155, October 1996.
- [4] R. J. Blok and C. Hoffman. A Curtis-Tits-Phan theorem for the twin-building of type $\tilde{A}_{n-1}$. *J. Algebra*, 321(4):1196–1224, 2009.
- [5] R. J. Blok and C. Hoffman. Curtis-Tits-systems of type $\tilde{A}_{n-1}$ and applications., in preparation
- [6] P.-E. Caprace. On 2-spherical Kac-Moody groups and their central extensions. *Forum Math (to appear)*, 2007.
- [7] J. Dunlap. *Uniqueness of Curtis-Tits amalgams*. PhD thesis, Bowling Green State Univ, 2005.
- [8] J.-I. Hée. Systèmes de racines sur un anneau commutatif totalement ordonné. *Geometriae Dedicata*, 37(1):65–102, January 1991.
- [9] B. Mühlherr. Locally split and locally finite twin buildings of 2-spherical type. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 511:119–143, 1999.
- [10] B. Mühlherr and M. Ronan. Local to global structure in twin buildings. *Invent. Math.*, 122:71–81, 1995.
- [11] J. Ramagge. On certain fixed point subgroups of affine Kac-Moody groups. *Journal of Algebra*, 171(2):473–514, January 1995.
- [12] O. Schreier and B. Van der Waerden. Die automorphismen der projektiven gruppen. *Abhandlungen aus dem Mathematischen Seminar der Universität Hamburg*, 6(1):303–322, December 1928.
- [13] J.-P. Serre. *Trees*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1980. Translated from the French by John Stillwell.
- [14] J. Tits. *Buildings of spherical type and finite BN-pairs*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1974.
- [15] J. Tits. Uniqueness and presentation of Kac-Moody groups over fields. *Journal of Algebra*, 105(2):542–573, February 1987.

- [16] J. Tits. Twin buildings and groups of Kac-Moody type. In *Groups, combinatorics & geometry (Durham, 1990)*, volume 165 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, pages 249–286. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1992.